

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/687,091	CLARK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Emily Bernhardt	1624	

All Participants:

(1) Emily Bernhardt.

(2) Mr. Hall.
Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: In response to the examiner's rejection applicants have amended claim 31 to include "memory disorders", which does not appear to be adequately described in the specification nor enabled for the full scope. "Enhancement of cognitive memory" would not be objected to. On 11/1/05 Mr. Hall responded via a voicemail message to make the change in order to pass the case to issue. Such will be done via an Examiner's Amendment..